

Appendix 3a: Responses received in relation to College Road & Culver Road Traffic Regulation Order

Comments of support

Received From	Resident Comment
SUPPORT Resident of Culver Road	"We are in favour of the parking scheme in Culver and College Road as it is often impossible to find a parking place for residents in the evening"
SUPPORT Resident of College Road	"I would like to support the proposal for RP in College and Culver Road. We have had to park in other roads on a number of occasions and my elderly father has to walk a long way to visit us as there is rarely parking near our house. I think this proposal will make a big positive difference".
SUPPORT Resident of College Road	"I am writing in support of the RP proposal, it is an excellent idea. Over the last two years car parking on both Roads have got worse and worse. The parking problem is worsen due to the recent introduction of Newtown RP scheme, events at Palmer Park over the weekends, commuter parking, conversion of single dwelling into HMO...etc. I hope the scheme is adopted in its proposed form. No amendments are required."

Comments of objection

Received From	Resident Comment
OBJECTION Resident of Culver Road	"The proposed RP scheme would not meet our current need with 3 cars registered under our address. There do not appear to be any unrestricted road nearby to accommodate our third car which means we will have to park some distance from our property. I don't see an issue with the current parking situation in Culver Road".
OBJECTION Resident of Culver Road	"As a resident I see no need for RP on Culver Road. The proposal would greatly inconvenience me as a resident".
OBJECTION Resident of Culver Road	"I wish to object to the RP scheme on the following grounds: 1) There is no longer the same level of parking pressure as it used to be. 2) Residents of Culver Road were underrepresented in the response to the informal consultation, which was dominated by residents on College Rd however Culver Road will be disproportionately affected by the scheme as College Rd has a higher density of housing and therefore cars will shunt up the road. 3) RP scheme will encourage residents of St Bart's to park here. 4) Cost of permits and visitors permits are high and residents have to pay for parking which is currently free."
OBJECTION Resident of College Rd	"Parking is not normally a problem during the day. We agree with the proposal to shorten the double yellow lines but oppose to the shared use RP between 10am and 4pm. Residents who have regular visitors will need to pay additional cost for visitor permit. We would support 2 hour parking between 8am-8pm, this would work better for the Nursery and the College who have visitors throughout the day"

Resident of College Rd OBJECTION	"We object to the resident permit scheme on College Road"
Resident of College Rd OBJECTION	"I would like to put forward my objection based on the fact I was not given the opportunity to express my view on the proposal as I did not receive the informal consultation, I also don't think a RP scheme is necessary. On occasion I may not be able to park directly outside my residence but this is rare"
Resident of College Rd OBJECTION	"I object to the restricted parking, I don't believe it is necessary as there are always parking spaces"
Cranbury College OBJECTION	<i>Objection with 12 signatures from Cranbury College staff.</i> "We are concerned about the impact of the proposed RP scheme on College Road and wish to object to the proposal. Our children have significant special needs and as a result are transported by Taxi to school each morning with some drivers requires to park and escorting the children in. We have up to 12 members of staff with car of those, 5 come and go at various times in the day"
Park Day Nursery OBJECTION	I raise an objection to the proposed RP scheme on College and Culver Road. Implementation of a RP scheme would have a severe and direct impact on the viability of the nursery business. The majority of the parents would pick up and drop off their children by cars and the proposed scheme does not accommodate for this. Furthermore, the scheme would also have a direct impact on staff that drives to work. In my opinion there is both social and economic justification for halting the proposal in its current format whilst other options that would be less impactful on local business and social requirements are considered and taken into account.